# **ESSAY**

# REGULATION IN THE BIDEN ADMINISTRATION

# RICHARD J. PIERCE, JR.\*

INT	RODUCTION	113
I.	ACTIONS ON INAUGURATION DAY	114
II.	THE PROGRESSIVE AGENDA WILL BE ON HOLD	116
III.	STAFFING AGENCIES WILL PRESENT PROBLEMS	118
IV.	LEGISLATIVE ACTION WILL BE RARE	119
V.	ISSUANCE OF NEW RULES WILL BE A LONG AND RISKY	
	PROCESS	122
CONCLUSION		124

# INTRODUCTION

On November 3, 2020, President-elect Joe Biden and Vice President-elect Kamala Harris won a close and bitter election over President Donald Trump and Vice President Mike Pence.<sup>1</sup> Biden and Harris will take office on January 20, 2021.<sup>2</sup> Harris is the daughter of a Black Jamaican immigrant father and an Indian immigrant mother.<sup>3</sup> She will be the first woman, the first Black, and the first South Asian Vice President in United States history.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Lyle T. Alverson Professor of Law, George Washington University Law School.

<sup>1.</sup> See Dylan Matthews, Joe Biden Has Won. Here's What Comes Next., VOX (Nov. 8, 2020, 3:00 PM) https://www.vox.com/2020/11/6/21534594/joe-biden-wins-2020-presidential-election.

<sup>2.</sup> U.S. Election Results: Biden Has Won—What Happens Now.?, BBC NEWS (Nov. 11, 2020) https://www.bbc.com/news/election-us-2020-54845063.

<sup>3.</sup> Sabrina Tavernise, *Kamala Harris, Daughter of Immigrants, Is the Face of America's Demographic Shift*, N.Y. TIMES (Aug. 19, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/2020/08/15/us/second-generation-immigrant-kamala-harris.html.

<sup>4.</sup> Matthews, *supra* note 1.

Democrats retained control of the House of Representatives, but lost some of the seats that they won when they took control of the House from the Republicans in 2018.<sup>5</sup> As a result of the runoff elections on January 5, Republicans now have fifty seats in the Senate, and Democrats have fifty seats.<sup>6</sup> Thus, the Senate is evenly divided but the Democrats will control the Senate because the Vice President has the power to break any tie vote.<sup>7</sup>

It is easy to predict some of the regulatory consequences of the election, but most are subject to a high degree of uncertainty. President-elect Biden has made it clear that he supports a major national effort to contain the pandemic; large increases in spending to stimulate the economy and to rebuild the nation's infrastructure; tax increases on corporations and wealthy individuals as part of a program to counter the large and growing gap between rich and poor Americans; strengthening and expanding the scope of the Affordable Care Act, Medicare, and Medicaid to provide a guarantee of adequate healthcare for all Americans; a more welcoming immigration policy; and major increases in regulation to mitigate climate change.8 President-elect Biden may be able to accomplish the first goal—containing the pandemic—by taking a series of quick unilateral actions.<sup>9</sup> However, he cannot further the other goals without taking a combination of legislative actions that require the cooperation of Congress and regulatory actions that require agencies to use lengthy procedures followed by review by an increasingly conservative judiciary.

# I. ACTIONS ON INAUGURATION DAY

While some members of the new administration are celebrating at the (virtual) inaugural ball, members of the President's transition team will be

<sup>5.</sup> Jacob Pramuk, *Democrats are Projected to Lose House Seats but Keep Their Majority*, CNBC (Nov. 7, 2020, 11:39 AM), https://www.cnbc.com/2020/11/05/2020-election-results-democrats-to-lose-house-seats-but-keep-majority.html.

<sup>6.</sup> Alex Rogers, *Democrats to Take Senate as Ossoff Wins Runoff, CNN projects*, CNN POLITICS (Jan. 6, 2021, 5:05 PM), https://www.cnn.com/2021/01/06/politics/ossoff-perdue-georgia-election-news/index.html.

<sup>7.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>8.</sup> See e.g., Christopher Rugaber & Tali Arbel, Bidenomics: More Stimulus, Tougher Regulation, and Gridlock, ASSOC. PRESS (Nov. 10, 2020), https://apnews.com/article/joe-biden-donald-trump-technology-virus-outbreak-senate-elections-0074a32323e0910c8bafa5ac3cf6423f.

<sup>9.</sup> See Amy Goldstein, Biden Lays Out Plan to Combat Covid in First 100 days, Including Requiring Masks on Interstate Buses, Trains, WASH. POST (Dec. 8, 2020, 3:57 PM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/biden-covid-100-days-plan/2020/12/08/16e0a47e-3965-11eb-98c4-25dc9f49 87e8\_story.html (detailing President-elect Biden's "three-point plan" to contain the pandemic).

hard at work putting the final touches on a large number of documents that the President and Executive Branch agencies will send to the Government Printing Office on or shortly after his first day in office. Those documents will include scores of Executive Orders in which the President will rescind and reverse scores of Executive Orders that President Trump issued. <sup>10</sup> The documents will include Orders directing the United States to rejoin the Paris Climate Accord and the World Health Organization, rescinding President Trump's ban on travel from many predominantly Muslim countries, and rescinding President Trump's Order that directed all agencies to repeal two regulatory rules for every new rule an agency issues. <sup>11</sup>

The documents published on or shortly after inauguration day will also include hundreds of Guidance Documents that rescind and reverse most of the hundreds of Guidance Documents that agencies issued during the Trump Administration. Unlike legislative rules, Guidance Documents do not have the force of law. 12 They are extremely important in the regulatory process, however. Guidance Documents announce agency interpretations of the statutes and rules that the agencies implement, and they announce the policies that agencies will use in the process of implementing those statutes and rules. 13 Unlike rules that have the force of law, Guidance Documents can be issued without first using any mandatory procedure. 14 Many are not subject to judicial review at all, and courts uphold most of those that are subject to review. 15 The Supreme Court recently held that an agency must provide an adequate explanation for any Guidance Document that changes an agency's policies in important ways, 16 but the

<sup>10.</sup> See Matt Viser et al., Biden Plans Immediate Flurry of Executive Orders to Reverse Trump Policies, WASH. POST (Nov. 7, 2020, 7:42 PM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/biden-first-executive-orders-measures/2020/11/07/9fb9c1d0-210b-11eb-b532-05c751cd5dc2\_story.html.

<sup>11.</sup> See Scroll Staff, US Will Rejoin Who and Paris Climate Accord, Says President-elect Joe Biden, SROLLL.IN (Nov. 20, 2020, 1:34 PM), https://scroll.in/latest/979013/us-will-rejoin-who-and-paris-climate-accord-says-president-elect-joe-biden; Christina Wilkie, Biden Pledges to End Trump's 'Muslim Ban' on His First Day in Office, CNBC (July 20, 2020, 6:04 PM), https://scroll.in/latest/979013/us-will-rejoin-who-and-paris-climate-accord-says-president-elect-joe-biden.

<sup>12.</sup> Kristin E. Hickman & Richard J. Pierce Jr., Administrative Law Treatise  $\S\S$  4.4, 4.5 (6th ed. 2019).

<sup>13.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>14.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>15.</sup> Id. at § 4.5.

<sup>16.</sup> See Richard J. Pierce Jr., Reason Trumps Pretext, REGUL. REV. (July 30, 2020), https://www.theregreview.org/2020/07/30/pierce-reason-trumps-pretext/ (discussing Dep't of Homeland Security v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal., 140 S. Ct. 1891 (2020)).

transition team will have worked long hours between election day and inauguration day to draft explanations that are likely to be considered adequate by courts.

The Executive Orders and Guidance Documents that the Biden Administration will issue on or shortly after inauguration day will come close to restoring the regulatory environment that existed when President Trump took office. During the Trump Administration, agencies issued hundreds of Guidance Documents that were deregulatory in nature.<sup>17</sup> It attempted to make relatively few changes in major rules, however, and its efforts to defend the resulting deregulatory rules in court were extraordinarily unsuccessful.<sup>18</sup>

Agencies in the Trump Administration were successful in defending their deregulatory rules in court in only 10% of cases. <sup>19</sup> That record compares unfavorably with the 70% success rate that agencies enjoyed during every other Administration headed by a President of either party in recent history. <sup>20</sup> The courts identified three fundamental errors in the hundreds of deregulatory actions that they rejected—the actions were based on erroneous interpretations of statutes, the actions were taken without using the notice-and-comment procedure that is mandatory in the process of issuing most rules, and the agency failed to provide an adequate explanation for the action it took. <sup>21</sup>

#### II. THE PROGRESSIVE AGENDA WILL BE ON HOLD

The progressive wing of the Democratic party has an ambitious agenda that includes: Medicare for all, the Green New Deal, defund the police, ban fracking, and abolish ICE (the Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency). That agenda will be on hold for at least the first two years of the Biden Administration.

President-elect Biden has made it clear that his goal is to unite America by listening attentively to the concerns expressed by the scores of millions of Americans who voted for President Trump and by negotiating compromises

<sup>17.</sup> See Tracking Deregulation in the Trump Era, BROOKINGS (Dec. 21, 2020), https://www.brookings.edu/interactives/tracking-deregulation-in-the-trump-era/ (listing the status of various deregulatory efforts across several agencies).

<sup>18.</sup> See Bethany Davis Noll, "Tired of Winning": Judicial Review of Regulatory Policy in the Trump Era, 73 ADMIN. L. REV. (forthcoming 2021).

<sup>19.</sup> Id. (manuscript at 1) (on file with the Administrative Law Review).

<sup>20.</sup> *Id.* (manuscript at 4) (on file with the *Administrative Law Review*).

<sup>21.</sup> *Id.* (manuscript at 20–21) (on file with the *Administrative Law Review*).

with the congressional leaders of the Republican Party.<sup>22</sup> Pursuit of that goal will lead him in the opposite direction of the actions urged by the progressives.

The election widened the major fissures in the coalition that comprises the Democratic Party. The Democrats took control of the House in 2018 as a result of the victories of many moderates in districts that previously were controlled by Republicans.<sup>23</sup> Progressives have not been successful in contested districts, rather progressives became members of Congress by defeating moderates in party-based primaries in districts that always elect the Democratic candidate in the general election.<sup>24</sup>

Many moderates blame progressives for the party's loss of House seats in the 2020 election. They argue that a moderate could retain their seat in 2020 only by successfully disavowing any support for the progressive agenda.<sup>25</sup> Moderates who were not successful in those efforts lost their seats. To illustrate the unpopularity of the progressive agenda in contested districts, moderates point to the results of a House race in a contested district in Nebraska. The progressive who was nominated by the Democrats lost to the Republican by less than 2% in a district that President-elect Biden won by almost 7%.<sup>26</sup>

The progressive agenda is far from dead for many reasons. Democrats cannot win national elections without the support of progressives; Vice President-elect Harris supports some elements of the progressive agenda; and

<sup>22.</sup> See Sabrina Siddiqui & Michael C. Bender, Joe Biden Vows to Unite America as President, WALL St. J. (Nov. 7, 2020, 11:51 PM), https://www.wsj.com/articles/joe-biden-wins-2020-presidential-election-ap-says-11604766914.

<sup>23.</sup> See Jonathan Martin & Alexander Burns, Democrats Capture Control of the House; G.O.P. Holds Senate, N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 6, 2018), https://www.nytimes.com/2018/11/06/us/politics/midterm-elections-results.html.

<sup>24.</sup> See Astead W. Herndon, Progressive Victories Signal Staying Power for the Movement, N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 19, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/2020/08/05/us/politics/election-primary-results.html.

<sup>25.</sup> See e.g., Chris Cillizza, This Democratic Congresswoman Just Spoke Some Hard Truth to Her Party, CNN POLITICS (Nov. 6, 2020, 2:11 PM), https://www.cnn.com/2020/11/06/politics/abigail-spanberger-house-democrats-2020-election/index.html ("Spanberger was blasting liberals in the House Democratic conference for advocating policies and views that might be popular in their overwhelmingly Democratic districts but that endangered their colleagues in swing seats, where the majority is typically won and lost.").

<sup>26.</sup> See Tara Golshan, Republican Don Bacon Beats Progressive Kara Eastman in Heated Nebraska House Race, HUFFPOST (Nov. 4, 2020, 7:18 AM), https://www.huffpost.com/entry/nebraska-second-house-don-bacon-wins-2020\_n\_5fa18c24c5b6128c6b5cd6ff.

public support for the progressive agenda has gradually increased for years.<sup>27</sup> The progressive agenda will return to the mainstream of political debate if, and to the extent that, public support for the agenda expands. At least at the beginning of the Biden Administration, however, it will be on hold.

# III. STAFFING AGENCIES WILL PRESENT PROBLEMS

President Trump's war on regulation inflicted tremendous damage on the cultures and reputations of regulatory agencies. President-elect Biden's most important initial task is to appoint people to positions of leadership in agencies who can rebuild their cultures and reputations. That will present challenges.

The U.S. system of checks and balances is not well-matched to the extreme political polarity that is now the dominant feature of the U.S. political environment. The most important positions of responsibility in all agencies are held by appointees who are classified by the Constitution as principal "officers" of the United States.<sup>28</sup> There are thousands of such positions.<sup>29</sup> A principal officer can only be appointed through a process of nomination by the President followed by confirmation by the Senate.<sup>30</sup>

Historically, the Senate was deferential to presidents in the confirmation process. Most nominees were confirmed relatively quickly by large bipartisan majorities.<sup>31</sup> As the country has become more polarized, however, the confirmation process has become increasingly partisan.<sup>32</sup> Nominees are often the subject of confirmation votes that are entirely or predominantly partisan. That has created a situation in which it takes many months for a newly-elected president to appoint heads of the regulatory agencies; many

<sup>27.</sup> See e.g., Steve Liesman, Majority of Americans Support Progressive Policies, Such as Minimum Wage, Free College, CNBC (Mar. 27, 2019, 5:00 PM), https://www.cnbc.com/2019/03/27/majority-of-americans-support-progressive-policies-such-as-paid-maternity-leave-free-college.html.

<sup>28.</sup> U.S. CONST. art. II, § 2, cl. 2.

<sup>29.</sup> See Cong. Rsch. Serv., R44083, Appointment and Confirmation of Executive Branch Leadership: An Overview 4 (2015), https://www.everycrsreport.com/files/20150622\_R44083\_a0dcf1a87bcf8965479aedc61bb776c7b4f16686.pdf (discussing how many Senators found confirming nominations to over 1,000 Executive Branch positions to be burdensome).

<sup>30.</sup> U.S. CONST. art. II, § 2, cl. 2.

<sup>31.</sup> See Louis Jacobson, How Hard Will It Be for Joe Biden to Get His Cabinet Confirmed?, TAMPA BAY TIMES (Dec. 15, 2020), https://www.tampabay.com/news/nation-world/2020/12/15/ho w-hard-will-it-be-for-joe-biden-to-get-his-cabinet-confirmed-politifact/ ("In the last 28 years, the Senate has confirmed 95% of the [P]resident's first Cabinet secretary nominations, and almost all of them have had pre-inaugural hearings[.]").

<sup>32.</sup> *Id.* (noting a "frequency of 'no' votes skyrocketed under [P] residents Barack Obama and Donald Trump.").

principal officer positions remain vacant a year after the president is elected; and some agencies that are headed by multi-member boards are powerless to take any action for months or years because they lack the quorum required to take any action.<sup>33</sup>

The victory of the two Democrats in the January 5 runoff elections for the Senate will make it much easier for President Biden to place people in whom he has confidence in leadership positions in agencies. With the Senate evenly divided between Democrats and Republicans, however, the unwillingness of even one Democrat to vote to confirm a nominee would preclude President Biden from appointing his preferred candidate to a leadership position in an agency. Even members of the President's party sometimes decline to vote to confirm a nominee because of their belief that the nominee does not share their policy preferences or those of their constituents.

President Biden can nominate someone for a leadership position in an agency with confidence that the Senate will confirm his nominee only if he can persuade at least some Republicans to vote to confirm the nominee. President-elect Biden will face a major test of his ability to create a truce between Democrats and Republicans at the beginning of his Term when he attempts to persuade Republican members of the Senate to vote to confirm his nominees to thousands of offices. Success would come in the form of an informal agreement to give Republicans a voice in the nomination process in return for their willingness to confirm his nominees. Failure would come in the form of his inability to staff some of the positions of responsibility in agencies of government.

# IV. LEGISLATIVE ACTION WILL BE RARE

The U.S. system of checks and balances creates formidable obstacles to enactment of legislation. Legislative action requires the President, the Senate and the House to agree to enact a Bill, except in the rare situation in which the House and Senate have the two-thirds majority required to overcome a presidential veto of a Bill.<sup>34</sup> The process is particularly challenging in the common situation in which the Presidency and control of the House and Senate are divided between Republicans and Democrats. In the past, the President and the leaders of the House and Senate met with some frequency in often successful attempts to negotiate compromises that could be enacted

<sup>33.</sup> See generally Anne Joseph O'Connell, Actings, 120 COLUM. L. REV. 613 (2020).

<sup>34.</sup> ELIZABETH RYBICKI, CONG. RSCH. SERV., RS22654, VETO OVERRIDE PROCEDURE IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE 1 (2019), https://www.senate.gov/CRSpubs/2b1325dc-6a6b-42c4-9a08-506c3a59a251.pdf.

by all three bodies.<sup>35</sup> The large and increasing political polarity in the United States has created conditions in which compromise negotiations are rarely successful except in extreme emergencies in which the alternative is a complete shutdown of the government.<sup>36</sup>

The experiences of Presidents Obama and Trump illustrate the effects of political polarization. Each entered office with his party in control of both the House and the Senate.<sup>37</sup> In each case, however, the President's party lost control of the Senate two years later.<sup>38</sup> Each was able to enact one major statute during the two-year period in which his party controlled the House and the Senate. In the Obama Administration, the one success was enactment of the Affordable Care Act. In the Trump Administration, it was enactment of a statute that dramatically reduced the taxes owed by corporations and wealthy individuals. In each case, the statute was enacted by a party-line vote over the strenuous objection of the opposing party. In each case the opposing party vowed to repeal the statute as soon as it Thus, for instance, Republicans have challenged the regained power. validity of the Affordable Care Act in the Supreme Court seven times, and they have attempted to repeal the Act over seventy times.<sup>39</sup> In both Administrations, the President was unsuccessful in persuading Congress to enact any other major statutes once the President's party lost control of the House or the Senate.

As a result of the outcome of the two runoff elections on January 5, President-elect Biden's party has control of the Senate during his first two years.<sup>40</sup> With the Senate evenly divided between Democrats and Republicans, however, the loss of a single Democratic vote in the Senate would doom any legislation President Biden proposes. In many contexts, he

<sup>35.</sup> See e.g., Gregory Korte, Obama Meets with GOP Congressional Leaders to Hash Out Legislative Agenda, USA TODAY (Feb. 2, 2016, 6:01 AM), https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2016/02/02/obama-meets-gop-congressional-leaders-hash-out-legislative-agenda/79662548/.

<sup>36.</sup> See e.g., Jacob Pranuk & Kevin Breuninger, Trump Calls Meeting with Chuck Schumer and Nancy Pelosi 'a Total Waste of Time' After He Storms Out, CNBC (Jan. 19, 2019, 5:38 AM), https://www.cnbc.com/2019/01/09/trump-calls-meeting-with-chuck-schumer-and-nancy-pelosi-a-total-waste-of-time-after-he-storms-out.html.

<sup>37.</sup> See Charles Apple, Control of House and Senate Since 1900, SPOKESMAN REV., https://www.spokesman.com/stories/2020/jun/25/control-house-and-senate-1900/ (last visited Jan. 14, 2021).

<sup>38.</sup> Id.

<sup>39.</sup> Abbe R. Gluck, *The Court Is Taking on the Most Challenged Statute in Our History. Again.*, N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 10, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/10/opinion/obamacare-supreme-court.html.

<sup>40.</sup> See Rogers, supra note 6.

is unlikely to get the support of all Democrats. Thus, for instance, Democratic Senator Joe Manchin represents West Virginia—a state in which coal mining is one of the largest sources of employment.<sup>41</sup> Senator Manchin is unlikely to vote to enact any legislation that would mitigate climate change by reducing consumption of coal.

The Senate has a rule that requires the votes of at least sixty members to end debate on a Bill and to allow the full Senate to vote on the Bill. 42 Unless President Biden can persuade Congress to abandon that rule, he must persuade at least ten Republican members to support any Bill he proposes by voting to end debate on the Bill. This legislative environment will provide an extraordinary test of President-elect Biden's ability to negotiate the compromises with the House and Senate that are essential to enactment of legislation.

Two other features of the U.S. political system combine with extreme political polarity to make the President-elect's task even more daunting. Most candidates for seats in the House and Senate are chosen through use of party-based primary elections. Primaries are low turnout elections in which political activists with extreme views are overrepresented.<sup>43</sup> As a result, primaries artificially advantage politicians with extreme views.

Moreover, most members of the House and Senate live in constant fear of being "primaried."<sup>44</sup> The vast majority of House and Senate seats are "safe" in the sense that the candidate of either the Democratic Party or the Republican Party is virtually certain to win in the general election.<sup>45</sup> Thus, the only risk to the job security of most members of the House and Senate is the risk of losing in a party-based primary. For Democrats, the risk comes

<sup>41.</sup> See Coal Explained, U.S. ENERGY INFO. ADMIN., https://www.eia.gov/energyexplain ed/coal/where-our-coal-comes-from.php (last updated Oct. 9, 2020) ("West Virginia is the largest coal-producing state in the region and the second-largest coal-producing state in the United States.").

<sup>42.</sup> See Molly E. Reynolds, What Is the Senate Filibuster, and What Would It Take to Eliminate It?, BROOKINGS (Sept. 9, 2020), https://www.brookings.edu/policy2020/votervital/what-is-the-senate-filibuster-and-what-would-it-take-to-eliminate-it/ (describing the Senate "cloture rule").

<sup>43.</sup> See Richard H. Pildes, Why the Center Does Not Hold: The Causes of Hyperpolarized Democracy in America, 99 CALIF. L. REV. 273, 284, 298–99 (2011).

<sup>44.</sup> See Richard J. Pierce Jr., Delegation, Time, and Congressional Capacity, REGUL. REV. (Mar. 9, 2020), https://www.theregreview.org/2020/03/09/pierce-delegation-time-congressional-capacity/ (noting such fear of being "primaried" leads to an unwillingness to compromise across party lines).

<sup>45.</sup> See 2020 House Race Ratings, COOK POL. REP. (Nov. 2, 2020), https://cookpolitical.com/ratings/house-race-ratings; 2020 Senate Election Forecasts, 270 TOWIN (June 1, 2020), https://www.270towin.com/2020-senate-election-predictions/.

from the left. For Republicans, the risk comes from the right. Thus, the safest course of action for most Democrats is to take positions on the far left and never to move toward the center to compromise, while the safest course of action for most Republicans is to take positions on the far right and never to move toward the center to compromise.

The second feature of the U.S. political system that renders compromise nearly impossible is the method of deciding whether any Bill can be considered by the full House or Senate. The majority leader of the Senate and the Speaker of the House are the gatekeepers who make those decisions. Both are elected by majority vote of the members of their party. This creates a situation in which Bills that could easily be enacted by a majority of the members of the Senate often cannot be considered by the full Senate, and Bills that could easily be enacted by a majority of members of the House often cannot be considered by the full House. A rational Speaker of the House will not allow a floor vote on a Bill that would be enacted by a vote of 325 to 110 if the 110 members who oppose the Bill are members of her party, and a rational majority leader of the Senate will not allow a floor vote on a Bill that would be enacted by a vote of 74 to 26 if the 26 members who oppose the Bill are members of his party. In either of those situations, a decision to allow a floor vote on the Bill would expose the gatekeeper to a high risk of losing their job by angering the majority of members of her party who are in a position to vote her out of office.

President-elect Biden is an experienced and highly skilled negotiator, but even he may not be successful in enacting major legislation in the political environment in the United States today.

## V. ISSUANCE OF NEW RULES WILL BE A LONG AND RISKY PROCESS

The remarkably poor track record of agencies' attempts to defend decisions to rescind or modify rules during the Trump Administration was caused by the agencies' failure to comply with the most fundamental legal prerequisites for a lawful rulemaking.<sup>46</sup> To issue, amend, or rescind a rule, an agency must use a process of notice-and-comment and then must provide an adequate explanation for the action the agency has taken.<sup>47</sup> Agencies in the Trump Administration attempted unsuccessfully to circumvent that process. Agencies in the Biden Administration are unlikely to repeat that mistake.

It follows, however, that it will take agencies in the Biden Administration a long time to issue the many major rules that President-elect Biden would

<sup>46.</sup> See Noll, supra note 18.

<sup>47.</sup> See Hickman & Pierce, supra note 12, at § 4.3; Ch. 5.

like them to issue. It usually takes years to issue a major new rule through use of the notice-and-comment process.<sup>48</sup>

The new rules issued by agencies during the Biden Administration will have to clear a high hurdle to be upheld in court. An agency can only issue a rule if Congress has enacted a statute in which it delegated to the agency the power to issue the rule.<sup>49</sup> Congress has not enacted or amended most of the statutes that delegate power to agencies for many decades. As a result, agencies often must rely on statutes that are thirty to eighty years old to support the issuance of rules that are intended to address problems that were unknown when the statutes were enacted. Thus, for instance, agencies must rely primarily on a 1934 statute to support decisions about whether and how to regulate the internet,<sup>50</sup> and agencies must rely primarily on a statute that was last amended in 1990 to support decisions to mitigate climate change.<sup>51</sup>

The many conservative judges and Justices that President Trump appointed<sup>52</sup> are likely to react with skepticism to any claim by an agency that it can rely on an old statute that says nothing that is directly relevant to today's problems as the sole basis for a bold new rule that addresses those problems. The Supreme Court's reaction to the Clean Power Plan (CPP) that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued during the Obama Administration illustrates the likely reaction of conservative judges and Justices to attempts of this type.<sup>53</sup> The CPP was the Obama Administration's most important step in its efforts to comply with the duties that the Paris Accord imposed on the United States and required electric utilities to switch from high carbon fuels to low carbon fuels at a cost of many billions of dollars.<sup>54</sup>

The Supreme Court took the unprecedented step of staying the CPP before it could even be the subject of review by a lower court.<sup>55</sup> The Supreme Court did not issue an opinion in which it explained the basis for its action, but the basis for its decision is easy to infer from the agency's explanation of

<sup>48.</sup> See generally Richard J. Pierce Jr., Rulemaking Ossification Is Real: A Response to "Testing the Ossification Hypothesis," 80 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 1493 (2012).

<sup>49.</sup> See generally MAEVE P. CAREY, CONG. RSCH. SERV., RL32240, THE FEDERAL RULEMAKING PROCESS: AN OVERVIEW 3 (2013), https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL32240.pdf.

<sup>50.</sup> Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151–163.

<sup>51.</sup> Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7431.

<sup>52.</sup> See Editorial Board, The Republican Party's Supreme Court, N.Y. TIMES (Oct. 26, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/2020/10/26/opinion/amy-coney-barrett-supreme-court.html (including three conservative Supreme Court Justices and hundreds of lower-court judges).

<sup>53.</sup> See Emily Hammond & Richard J. Pierce Jr., The Clean Power Plan: Testing the Limits of Administrative Law and the Electric Grid, 7 GEO. WASH. J. ENERGY & ENV'T. L. 1 (2016).

<sup>54.</sup> *Id.* at 2-3.

<sup>55.</sup> North Dakota v. EPA, 136 S. Ct. 999, 999 (Mem. 2016).

its rule, the briefs in support of the stay, and the division among the Justices. The EPA relied entirely on a novel and aggressive interpretation of a provision of a 1990 statute as the basis for the CPP. That provision authorizes the EPA to set emissions performance rates for stationary sources of pollutants. It was not intended to be a source of power to address climate change, and it had never been interpreted to authorize EPA to require utilities to switch fuels. So far, Congress has refused to take any action to address climate change or to authorize any agency to take actions to address climate change. The motions and briefs that were successful in persuading five conservative Justices to stay the CPP emphasized the questionable statutory basis for the rule. It is fair to draw the inference that the five conservative Justices who voted to stay the CPP were not willing to uphold the agency's attempt to reinterpret a statute that did not address climate change at all as the basis for a new rule that addressed climate change by imposing billions of dollars of costs on electric utilities and their customers.

The reaction of the five conservative Justices to the CPP is typical of the likely reactions of conservative judges and Justices to attempts to support major new rules by relying on old statutes that were not enacted to address the problem the rule addresses. They will not uphold a rule unless they are persuaded that Congress conferred on the issuing agency the power to issue the rule. Because of the success of the Trump Administration in appointing conservative judges and Justices, there are now six conservative Justices and over one hundred newly appointed conservative judges.<sup>59</sup> They will react with skepticism to the attempts by agencies in the Biden Administration to issue major rules to address important new problems that Congress has never addressed.

#### CONCLUSION

The Biden Administration will enjoy many important successes in its early days. After that, it will experience extreme difficulty taking the kinds of bold regulatory actions that President Biden envisions. President Biden will have to combine superior political acumen with patience and perseverance to be successful in his attempt to reunite a nation that is experiencing extreme political polarity.

<sup>56.</sup> See id. (providing that Justices Ginsburg, Breyer, Sotomayor, and Kagan would have denied the application to stay).

<sup>57.</sup> See Hammond & Pierce, supra note 53, at 4.

<sup>58.</sup> See 42 U.S.C. § 7411.

<sup>59.</sup> See N.Y. Times Editorial Board, supra note 52.